



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

**SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT  
UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
NOTICE AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR REMOTE APPEARANCES**

You may appear at your court hearing either (1) in-person or (2) remotely by video or telephone. If you fail to appear in-person or remotely by video or telephone, the court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. *The clerk will NOT contact you.* Remote appearances by video or telephone can be made utilizing the **ZOOM** platform, **effective January 2, 2024:**

- If you are *joining by video*, go to [www.zoom.com/join](http://www.zoom.com/join) and follow the instructions below:
  - Type in the Meeting ID (*see below for department Meeting IDs and Passcodes*) and click "Join".
  - Click "Launch Meeting" then "Open zoom.us".
  - Zoom will launch and you will be asked for the Meeting Passcode. *Enter the passcode for your Meeting ID for the respective department for your court hearing.*
  - Enable your camera and click "Join".
  - Once you join, a prompt to use computer audio will appear, click "Join with Computer Audio".
  - **Enter your full first and last name TO IDENTIFY YOURSELF TO THE COURT.**
  - Using headphones may help you hear more clearly.
- If you are *joining by phone*, dial 1-(669)254-5252 or 1-(669)216-1590 and enter the Meeting ID and Passcode as described below.

**Department 403  
Meeting ID: 161 463 0304  
Passcode: 114482**

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below:  
<https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1614630304?pwd=OTZ1cVZaQIRYWXpFQ2hTaEFuZnhIZz09>

**Department 404  
Meeting ID: 161 305 3325  
Passcode: 282709**

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below:  
<https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1613053325?pwd=SkdXWGVkQkowckJSNnJwSSSttYkR6dz09>

When you join the hearing on Zoom:

1. **You are to mute your audio when you are not speaking.**
2. State your name before you speak for proper identification to the court and for all the parties in your case. Only one person **MUST** speak at a time.

**PROHIBITION ON RECORDING:** **Do not record the hearing in any way.** Any recording of a court proceeding, *including screen shots, other visual or audio copying* of the hearing, is **prohibited**. Any violation is punishable to the fullest extent under the law, including but not limited to monetary sanctions up to \$1,000, restricted entry to future hearings, or other sanctions deemed appropriate by the court. For more information

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 MURLVIN LAWANN LEST,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 NICOLE MICHELLE HOLMES,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FMS-17-387016

) Hearing Date: March 28, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF CHANGE OF CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION  
13 (PARENTING TIME)

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
16 Court makes the following findings and orders:

17  
18 1. This matter is on calendar for consideration of Father's request for sole legal and sole physical  
19 custody of the minor son, Ahmad (DOB: 6.23.17). Father and Mother had joint legal and physical custody  
20 pursuant to a Child Custody and Visitation Stipulation and Order filed September 18, 2017. However,  
21 following Mother's incarceration, Father was granted temporary sole legal and sole physical custody of  
22 the minor child. See the Findings and Orders after Hearing filed on December 29, 2023.

23 2. At the hearing on December 21, 2023 the Court allowed Mother to have a phone call weekly on  
24 Saturdays at 3:00 p.m. while she remains incarcerated. The number of calls were reduced due to Mother's  
25 failure to make the calls and concern for consistency with the child. The Court also ordered a tier 2  
26 interview of the minor's therapist.

27 3. On March 19, 2024 Father filed his update declaration. He states Mother remains incarcerated.  
28 He attached an excel spreadsheet documenting the phone calls. Mother had 13 calendared phone calls but  
29 only called three times. Ahmad did not participate in any of the calls.

1 4. Father states the minor's therapy is going well and back on schedule after an interruption in  
2 January. The Court has not received the Tier 2 interview.

3 5. Father requests the Court grant him sole legal and sole physical custody and not set any further  
4 review hearings at this time.

5 6. The Court denies the request. Father shall continue to have temporary sole legal and sole physical  
6 custody. The Court will not schedule a further review hearing at this time. Either party may file a request  
7 for further orders when appropriate.

8 7. Father is reminded to encourage Ahmad to participate in the phone calls from his Mother.

9 8. All prior orders not inconsistent with this order shall remain in full force and effect.

10 9. The Court will prepare the order.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 ISAIAH HURT,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 JANIA HURT,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDI-09-770662

) Hearing Date: March 28, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF VISITATION (PARENTING TIME)

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses to  
15 appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote

16 Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.

- 17 1. On January 11, 2024 Petitioner Father filed his request for order for visitation with his three minor  
18 children, Jayson (DOB: 10.12.07), Ljanay (DOB: 1.29.10), and Aayliah (1.9.12).
- 19 2. Father's specific request is uncertain.
- 20 3. Mother did not file a responsive pleading.
- 21 4. The parties participated in mediation.
- 22 5. Appearances required.
- 23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 MAUREEN T. BOYLE,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 JAMES BOSCO BOYLE,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDI-20-793890

) Hearing Date: March 28, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11

12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**  
15 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**  
16 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

17 **A. Procedural History**

18 1. The matter is continued from 1/16/2024 to review Respondent's compliance with the orders made  
19 that day, which in part required Respondent to pay to Petitioner \$7,966 by wire transfer to her Bank of  
20 America account no later than 5pm on 2/16/2023, make all other equalizing payments to Petitioner  
21 through wire transfer to her Bank of America account, sign any documents necessary to effectuate any  
22 property division orders set forth in the Judgment , and reserved jurisdiction to order Respondent to pay  
23 further attorney's fees and costs based on the amount of fees and costs Petitioner incurs having the  
24 Judgment entered and enforced in Ireland.

25 2. On 3/18/2024, Respondent filed an Update Declaration in opposition of imposition of sanctions,  
26 attorney's fees, and other relief and he requests a reduction in monthly payment amount from \$7,000 to  
27 \$5,000 per month. Respondent states he failed to sign the papers because he did not like how Petitioner  
28 treated him.  
29

1 3. On 3/18/24 Petitioner filed an update declaration stating that Respondent failed to wire the \$7,966  
2 in attorney's fees, has not paid the monthly payments for February and March 2024, requesting a review  
3 hearing in April, and additional fees sanctions in the amount of \$1,875.

4 **B. Findings and Orders**

5 Appearances required to discuss Respondent's failure to comply with court orders.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 NICOLAS ALEJANDRO COHEN TARICA ) Case Number: FDI-23-798372  
7 GLANZ, ) Hearing Date: March 28, 2024  
8 Petitioner ) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM  
9 VS. ) Department: 403  
10 ANTONELA FERRARI MILANO, ) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 Respondent )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER SPOUSAL OR PARTNER SUPPORT, PROPERTY CONTROL

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **A. Procedural History**

- 17 1. The matter is continued from 1/16/2024.
- 18 2. On 2/5/2024, Respondent filed a Declaration in support of spousal support, stating the parties  
19 were married in 12/2021 and she believes that the parties were did no separate until after 6/26/2023.  
20 Respondent states that Petitioner agreed to pay her \$5,600 per month and since 3/2023 he has been paying  
21 her rent and utilities instead of transferring the money to her bank account.
- 22 3. On 2/8/2024, Respondent filed another declaration stating she retuned all Petitioner's personal  
23 items to him and moved out the marital residence at 33 Buena Vista Ter., San Francisco and returned the  
24 keys to Petitioner.
- 25 4. On 3/12/2024, Petitioner filed a Reply stating that Respondent failed to give 30 days notice that  
26 she was moving out and he was required to pay additional rent for the apartment, the total amount  
27 Petitioner has paid since 1/2023 is \$73,291 in rent and utilities for Respondent, there was no premarital  
28 agreement, and he requests the Court terminate his spousal support obligation, find that the rent and  
29 utility payments that he made were in lieu of spousal support payments, that he has a right of



1 reimbursement for any overpayment of spousal support, and requests the right to seek reimbursement for  
2 personal items taken by Petitioner.

3 **B. Findings and Orders**

4 1. Respondent's 9/21/2023 Request for Order requesting the Court give her exclusive use,  
5 possession and control of the Buena Terrace property is moot, as she moved out of the unit.

6 2. Petitioner's 12/29/2023 request for return of his personal items, is moot as the items have been  
7 returned.

8 3. Respondent's request for spousal support is denied. Petitioner fulfilled his duty to pay support.  
9 Jurisdiction is reserved for trial for any reimbursement claims Petitioner may have.

10 4. Petitioner's latest request for right to seek reimbursement for personal items taken by Petitioner  
11 when she moved out is beyond the scope of the RFO.

12 5. The Court will prepare the order.

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 SELAMAWIT ZEWDE,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 ZEWDU MULETA,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDI-23-798476

) Hearing Date: March 28, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
)  
)  
)

11  
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER OF CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION (PARENTING TIME)

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Parties reached full agreement. Off calendar  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 KEITH JOSEPH KRACH,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 METTA GROKENBERGER KRACH,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDI-24-799139

) Hearing Date: March 28, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER TO ENFORCE THE ADR PROVISION OF THE PREMARITAL  
13 AGREEMENT

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15  
16 The matter is continued on the Court's own motion to 4/18/2024 at 9:00am in Dept. 403.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 CELIA RIVERA,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 VICTOR PALMER SR,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDV-20-815460

) Hearing Date: March 28, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF CHANGE OF CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION  
13 (PARENTING TIME), AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**  
16 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**  
17 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

18  
19 1. This matter is on calendar for Petitioner Mother' request for change in child custody and  
20 visitation (parenting time). Mother filed her request for temporary emergency orders on January 24, 2024  
21 to suspend visitation by Respondent Father with the minor daughters, Victoria (DOB: 8.29.10) and  
22 Vanessa (DOB: 10.26.11) and requests sole legal and sole physical custody. Mother alleges Father  
23 physically and emotionally abuses the minor children.

24 2. The current custody order is joint physical and joint legal custody with week on and week off  
25 visitation. See FOAH filed April 7, 2021.

26 3. On January 29, 2024 Father filed his detailed responsive declaration refuting the allegations  
27 raised by Mother in her request for order. He requests the Court deny Mother's requests for orders and  
28 requests the court to order therapy for the daughters and order the parents to participate in co-parenting  
29 classes.

1 4. Father filed another declaration on March 7, 2024.

2 5. On March 18, 2024 Mother filed an update declaration. She repeats her complaints that Father  
3 was physically violent with Victoria in January 2024 and that the children are afraid to be with Father.  
4 She requests supervised visitation or reduced visitation 2 to 4 hours per month. Mother states she has  
5 reported Father to CPS twice in the past four months. She claims Father was videotaped drinking alcohol  
6 while driving with the minor daughters in the car.

7 6. Mother requests Father attend individual therapy, anger management classes, and co-parenting  
8 classes to address his anger and his methods of disciplining the minors.

9 7. Mother also requests the Court to order Tier 2 interviews of the minor daughters.

10 8. Appearances required.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29